

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/772,810	Applicant(s) KHAN, RAFAY
	Examiner Carramah J. Quiett	Art Unit 2622

All Participants:

(1) Carramah J. Quiett, Patent Examiner.
 (2) Adil M. Musabji, Applicant's Representative.

Status of Application: RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 May 2011**Time:** 03:13 pm EST.**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

25 AND 31

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Carramah J Quiett/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2622

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Musabji returned Ms. Quiet's call regarding a proposed Examiner's Amendment. The Examiner suggested changing claim 31 to correspond to claim 25 in order to place the application in a condition for allowance. Also, the Examiner suggested adding the term "non-transitory" to claim 25 in order alleviate any possible 101 issues. Mr. Musabji agreed to the Examiner's Amendment. Please see the attached Examiner's Amendment.